arXiv:1203.5946v1 [math.AG] 27 Mar 2012

A few remarks about the Hilbert scheme of smooth projective curves

Edoardo Ballico and Claudio Fontanari

Abstract

We discuss two conjectures by Francesco Severi and Joe Harris about the irreducibility and the dimension of the Hilbert scheme parameterizing smooth projective curves of given degree and genus.

Keywords: Hilbert scheme, smooth curve, irreducibility, dimension.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14H10, 14H51.

1 Introduction

Man erkennt zunächst (...), daß die irreduziblen Kurven C vom Geschlecht p und der Ordnung $n \geq p+r$ des Raumes S_r eine einzige Familie V bilden, deren allgemeine Kurve nicht spezial ist. This statement made by Francesco Severi at the beginning of §5 of Anhang G in [17] (see p. 368), turns out to be false, as an example suggested by Joe Harris first showed in the mid eighties (see [6], Proposition 9). Here we focus on the problem of establishing the range in which Severi's claim holds true.

Let $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,n}$ denote the open subset of the Hilbert scheme parameterizing smooth, irreducible, nondegenerate curves of degree d and genus g in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Theorem 1. *Fix integers $g \geq 0$, $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq g+n$. If $5 \leq n \leq 6$ assume $d > \frac{2n+1}{n+5}g+1$. If $n \geq 7$ assume $d > \frac{2n-4}{n+1}g + \frac{n+13}{n+1}$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,n}$ is irreducible.*

The above statement collects several already known results together with a few original ones. The case $n = 2$ was first pointed out by Arbarello and Cornalba (see [1], Lemma 3.4), while the cases $n = 3, 4$ are due to Ein (see [5], Theorem 4, and [6], Theorem 7; see also [13], Theorem 1.5, for a different proof of the case $n = 3$ in the spirit of [1]). The result for $n = 5$ is due to

Iliev (see [10], Theorem A), while the statement for $n = 8, g \geq 19$ and for $n \geq 9$ has been proven by Kim (see [14], Theorem 3.7). To the best of our knowledge, the cases $n = 6$, $n = 7$, and $n = 8, g \leq 18$ have not previously appeared in the literature (the sharpest published bound covering this range we are aware of is indeed $d > \frac{2n-2}{n+2}g + \frac{n+8}{n+2}$, see [6], Theorem 8). Our proof extends to every n the lines of the argument provided by Iliev in [10] for the case $n = 5$.

The hypothesis $d > \frac{2n-4}{n+1}g + \frac{n+13}{n+1}$ in the statement of Theorem 1 turns out to be sharp (see for instance [15]). On the other hand, the stronger numerical assumption $d > \frac{2n+1}{n+5}g + 1$ for $5 \leq n \leq 6$ seems to be just a technical one, even though we were not able to remove it. Our partial results in this direction are summarized in the following:

Proposition 1. *Fix integers $g \geq 0$, $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq g + n$. If $d > \frac{2n-4}{n+1}g + \frac{n+13}{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,n}$ is not irreducible, then $H^0(C, K_C - N_{L-D} - D) \neq 0$, where C is a general curve in any extra component of $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,n}$, $L = \mathcal{O}_C(1)$, $h^0(C, L) = r + 1$, $D = p_1 + \ldots + p_{r-3}$ with p_i general points on C, and N_{L-D} denotes the normal bundle to C in the immersion into $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by the complete linear series associated to $L - D$.*

By applying [6], Theorem 5, to N_{L-D} we obtain $h^0(C, K_C - N_{L-D}) \leq g - d + r - 2$. If D were general with respect to $L - D$, then we could conclude that $h^0(C, K_C - N_{L-D} - D) = 0$, but unluckily this is not the case.

We also investigate the range in which the Hilbert scheme is reducible, in particular in Section 3 we provide the following counterexample to a conjecture stated in [8] (see also [7], question (1) on p. 40):

Theorem 2. *For every integer $g \geq 12$, let $g \equiv \varepsilon$ modulo 4 with $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 3$. Then there exist integers d, n and a component $\mathcal{H}$ of the Hilbert scheme whose general member corresponds to a smooth, irreducible, nondegenerate curve of degree d and genus g in $\mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\dim \mathcal{H} > 3g - 3 + \rho(g, n, d) + (n+1)^2 - 1$ and the image of the rational map $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}_g$ has codimension $\frac{g}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2$.*

As pointed out in [8], a more refined version of the same conjecture predicts the existence of a number $\beta(g)$ tending linearly to ∞ with g, such that any such component $\mathcal{H}$ whose image in $\mathcal{M}_g$ has codimension $\beta \leq \beta(g)$ has the expected dimension. From this point of view, Theorem 2 only implies that $\beta(g) \leq \frac{g}{2} + 2$, and we believe that such a problem is well worth of further investigation.

This research project was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM. The second named author started thinking about the Hilbert scheme in the warm atmosphere of the 2011 Summer Session of IAS/Park City Mathematical Institute, whose support is gratefully acknowledged. In particular, he benefitted from encouraging discussions with Joe Harris on Brill-Noether theory. His interest in the irreducibility problem was aroused by the beautiful survey [16] of Edoardo Sernesi, to whom he is also indebt for stimulating pleasant conversations about Severi's Anhang G.

We work over the complex field.

2 Irreducibility

Fix nonnegative integers g, r, d and let $\mathcal{W}^r_d = \{(C, L) : C$ a smooth curve of genus g, L a line bundle on C of degree d with $h^0(C, L) = r + 1\}$ (for a rigorous definition we refer to [3], Chapter XXI., §3).

We borrow from [10] the three main technical ingredients of the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. *Assume $r \geq 2$ and $g - d + r \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{W}$ be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{W}^r_d$ with general element (C, L). If the moving part of $|L|$ is*

(i) very ample, then $\dim \mathcal{W} \leq 3d + g + 1 - 5r$.

(ii) birationally very ample, then $\dim \mathcal{W} \leq 3d + g - 1 - 4r$.

(iii) compounded, then $\dim \mathcal{W} \leq 2g - 1 + d - 2r$.

Proof. For (i), see [6], Theorem 6. For (ii), see [12], Lemma 1. For (iii), see [9], Proposition 2.1.

□

Lemma 2. *Assume $r \geq 2$ and $g - d + r \geq 3$ and let $\mathcal{W}$ be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{W}^r_d$ whose general element (C, L) is such that L is strictly birationally very ample and the moving part of $K_C - L$ is birationally very ample. Then there exists an irreducible component $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}^{r-1}_{d-2}$ such that $\dim \mathcal{W} \leq \dim \mathcal{W}'$ and if (C', L') is the general element of $\mathcal{W}'$ then the moving part of $K_{C'} - L'$ is birationally very ample.*

Proof. See [10], Lemma 2.2 and Remark 2.3.

□

Lemma 3. *Let g^r_d be a birationally very ample linear series of degree $d \geq g$ on a smooth curve of genus g. Then*

$$r \leq \frac{1}{3}(2d - g + 1)$$

Proof. See either [2], Chapter III., §2, (2.2) on p. 115, or [5], Lemma 7, or [9], Lemma 2.3.

$\square$

Proof of Theorem 1. By [5], Theorem 4, and [6], Theorem 7, we may assume

$$n \geq 5. \tag{1}$$

Since $d \geq g+n$, there is a unique open subset of $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,n}$ corresponding to non-special curves. Suppose by contradiction that $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,n}$ is reducible. Then there exists an irreducible component $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,n}$ such that the general curve C in $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies $h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1)) = r + 1$ and $h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1)) = \delta > 0$. In particular, if $\mathcal{Y}$ is the irreducible component of $\mathcal{W}^r_d$ such that $(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1)) \in \mathcal{Y}$, then we must have

$$\dim \mathcal{Y} + (n+1)(r-n) \geq 4g - 3 - (n+1)(g - d + n). \tag{2}$$

First of all, we claim that

$$\delta \geq 3. \tag{3}$$

Indeed, if $\delta \leq 2$ then by [6], Theorem 6 (a), $\dim \mathcal{Y} = 4g-3-(r+1)(g-d+r)$, hence from (2) it follows that $n = r$ and $0 < \delta = g - d + r = g - d + n \leq 0$, contradiction.

The idea now is to focus on $K_C - \mathcal{O}_C(1)$. Let B be the base locus of $K_C - \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ with $\deg B = b$ and let $\mathcal{W}$ be the irreducible component of $\mathcal{W}^{\delta-1}_{2g-2-d}$ such that $(C, K_C - \mathcal{O}_C(1)) \in \mathcal{W}$.

Assume first that $K_C - \mathcal{O}_C(1) - B$ is very ample. In this case, Lemma 1 (i) applied to $\mathcal{W}$ yields $\dim \mathcal{Y} = \dim \mathcal{W} \leq 3(2g-2-d) + g + 1 - 5(\delta - 1)$ and (2) implies

$$(n-1)d \leq (n-1)g + (n-4)r + 3. \tag{4}$$

Hence by Lemma 3 we deduce $d \leq \frac{2n+1}{n+5}g + 1$, which contradicts our numerical assumptions.

Assume next that $K_C - \mathcal{O}_C(1) - B$ is composed with an involution of degree m onto a curve Γ of genus $\gamma \geq 0$. If f is the induced morphism, then

$f = h \circ g$, with $g : C \to \Gamma$ of degree m and $h : \Gamma \to \mathbb{P}^{\delta-1}$ of degree $\frac{2g-2-d-b}{m}$. It follows that $\frac{2g-2-d-b}{m} \geq \delta - 1$ and

$$m \leq \frac{2g-2-d-b}{\delta-1} \leq \frac{2g-2-d}{\delta-1}. \tag{5}$$

Let now $k := \frac{n-2}{3(n-1)}$. We claim that if $d > \frac{2n-4}{n+1}g + \frac{n+13}{n+1}$ then $(\delta-1) > k(2g-2-d)$. Indeed, by Lemma 1 (iii) applied to $\mathcal{W}$ we have $\dim \mathcal{Y} = \dim \mathcal{W} \leq 2g - 1 + (2g-2-d) - 2(\delta-1)$ and from (2) we deduce that $2g - n - 3 \leq (2g-2-d) + (n-1)(\delta-1)$. If $(\delta-1) \leq k(2g-2-d)$, then $2g-n-3 \leq (1+(n-1)k)(2g-2-d)$ and since $d > \frac{2n-4}{n+1}g + \frac{n+13}{n+1}$ we reach a numerical contradiction.

Hence (5) implies $m < \frac{1}{k} \leq 4$, where the last estimate follows from (1), so that $m \leq 3$.

If $m = 3$, then from (5) we also deduce

$$\begin{aligned} b &\leq -3(\delta-1) + (2g-2-d) && (6)\\ (\delta-1) &\leq \frac{2g-2-d}{3}. && (7) \end{aligned}$$

If $m = 2$, then $\gamma \geq 1$ since otherwise C would be hyperelliptic and the dual of $K_C - \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ could not be very ample. If $\frac{2g-2-d-b}{2} \leq 2\gamma$ then by Clifford's Theorem we obtain $(\delta-1) \leq \frac{2g-2-d-b}{4}$, hence both (6) and (7) hold. If instead $\frac{2g-2-d-b}{2} > 2\gamma$ then the linear series $|H|$ of degree $\frac{2g-2-d-b}{2}$ on Γ defining $h : \Gamma \to \mathbb{P}^{\delta-1}$ is nonspecial. It follows that $\dim |H+Q| = \dim |H| + 1$ for every $Q \in g(C)$ and $\dim |K_C - \mathcal{O}_C(1) + P_1 + P_2| \geq \dim |K_C - \mathcal{O}_C(1)| + 1$ for $P_1, P_2 \in C$ such that $g(P_1) = g(P_2) = Q$. Hence by Riemann-Roch we have $\dim |\mathcal{O}_C(1) - P_1 - P_2| \geq \dim |K_C - \mathcal{O}_C(1)| + d - g = \dim |\mathcal{O}_C(1)| - 1$, contradicting the very ampleness of $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$.

Now, for $\gamma = 0$ we have $\dim \mathcal{W} \leq \dim \mathcal{W}^1_m + b = 2g + 2m - 5 + b \leq 2g + 1 + b$, while for $\gamma \geq 1$ we have $\dim \mathcal{W} \leq 2g - 2 + (2n-3)(1-\gamma) + \gamma + b \leq 2g + 1 + b$, hence in both cases by (6) we get $\dim \mathcal{Y} = \dim \mathcal{W} \leq 2g + 1 - 3(\delta-1) + (2g-2-d)$. From (2) we deduce $2g - 5 - n \leq (2g-2-d) + (n-2)(\delta-1)$ and by (7) we conclude $d \leq \frac{2n-4}{n+1}g + \frac{n+13}{n+1}$, contradicting our numerical assumptions.

Assume finally that $K_C - \mathcal{O}_C(1) - B$ is strictly birationally very ample. By an iterative application of Lemma 2, either we are reduced to one of the previous two cases, or we obtain a component $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}^2_{2g-2-d-2(\delta-3)}$ such that the general element of $\mathcal{W}'$ is strictly birationally very ample and

$\dim \mathcal{W} \leq \mathcal{W}'$. Hence Lemma 1 (ii) applied to $\mathcal{W}'$ yields $\dim \mathcal{Y} = \dim \mathcal{W} \leq \dim \mathcal{W}' \leq 3(2g-2-d-2(\delta-3)) + g - 9$ and from (2) it follows that

$$(n-1)d \leq (n-1)g + (n-4)r - \delta + 6. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, since we know that (4) is incompatible with our numerical assumptions, we have

$$(n-1)d > (n-1)g + (n-4)r + 3. \tag{9}$$

From (8) and (9) we deduce $\delta < 3$, which contradicts (3).

□

Proof of Proposition 1. Assume by contradiction $H^0(C, K_C - N_{L-D} - D) \neq 0$. From the exact sequence (see [4] (2.7) and (2.5))

$$0 \to K - N_{L-D} - D \to K - N_L \to \sum_{i=1}^{r-3} K - L(-2p_i) \to 0$$

we deduce

$$h^1(C, N_L) = h^0(C, K - N_L) \leq (r-3)(\delta - 2).$$

If $\mathcal{Y}$ is the irreducible component of $\mathcal{W}^r_d$ such that $(C, L) \in \mathcal{Y}$ then $\dim \mathcal{Y} \leq 4g-3+(r+1)(g-d+r)+h^1(C,N_L) \leq 4g-3+(r+1)(g-d+r)+(r-3)(\delta-2)$ and from (2) it follows that $(n-3)d \leq (n-3)g+(n-5)r+6$. Hence by Lemma 3 we deduce $d \leq \frac{2n-4}{n+1}g + \frac{n+13}{n+1}$, which contradicts our numerical assumption.

□

3 Dimension

Fix nonnegative integers g, n, d and let $\rho(g,n,d) = g - (n+1)(g-d+n)$ be the so-called Brill-Noether number.

In the recent survey [8], p. 142, the following conjecture is stated (see also [7], §2.b., pp. 39–40):

Conjecture 1. *If $\mathcal{H}$ is any component of the Hilbert scheme whose general member corresponds to a smooth, irreducible, nondegenerate curve of degree d and genus g in $\mathbb{P}^n$, and the image of the rational map $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{M}_g$ has codimension $g-4$ or less, then* $\dim \mathcal{H} = 3g - 3 + \rho(g,n,d) + (n+1)^2 - 1$.

Immediately after that (see [8], p. 143), it is remarked that *to be honest, the available evidence suggests simply the existence of a number* $\beta(g)$ *tending linearly to* ∞ *with* g*, such that any such component* $\mathcal{H}$ *whose image in* $\mathcal{M}_g$ *has codimension* $\beta \leq \beta(g)$ *has the expected dimension; we use the function* $g-4$ *just for simplicity.*

Theorem 2 shows that the literal statement of the above conjecture turns out to be false and that the number $\beta(g)$ satisfies the inequality $\beta(g) \leq \frac{g}{2}+2$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $k = \frac{g+\varepsilon}{4}$ and let C be a general k-gonal curve with $g^1_k = |E|$. By [11], Corollary 3.3, $|K-2E|$ is very ample, so the general k-gonal curve is embedded as a curve of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^n$ with $d = 2g-2-2k$ and $n = g-2k+1$. Since $k < \frac{g}{4}+1$, we have $2g+2k-5 > 3g-3+\rho(g,n,d)$, hence the family of general k-gonal curves embedded by $|K-2E|$ belongs to a component $\mathcal{H}$ of the Hilbert scheme such that $\dim \mathcal{H} > 3g-3+\rho(g,n,d)+(n+1)^2-1$. On the other hand, the codimension in $\mathcal{M}_g$ of the family of general k-gonal curves is $3g-3-(2g+2k-5) = \frac{g}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2$.

□

We are wondering whether Theorem 2 could be improved or not:

Question 1. *At least for* g *large enough, is* $\beta(g) = \frac{g}{2}+2$ *and is the family above the only one of smallest possible codimension in* $\mathcal{M}_g$*?*

References

[1] E. Arbarello and M. Cornalba: A few remarks about the variety of irreducible plane curves of given degree and genus. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 16, 467488 (1984).

[2] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris: Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. I. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 267. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[3] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, and P. A. Griffiths: Geometry of algebraic curves. Vol. II. With a contribution by Joseph Daniel Harris. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 268. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[4] A. Bertram, L. Ein, and R. Lazarsfeld: Surjectivity of Gaussian maps for line bundles of large degree on curves. Algebraic geometry (Chicago, IL, 1989), 15-25, Lecture Notes in Math., 1479, Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[5] L. Ein: Hilbert scheme of smooth space curves. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 19 (1986), 469478.

[6] L. Ein: The irreducibility of the Hilbert scheme of smooth space curves. Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), 83-87, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 46, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[7] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris: Irreducibility of some families of linear series with Brill-Noether number -1. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 22, 33–53 (1989).

[8] J. Harris: Brill-Noether theory. Surveys in Differential Geometry Vol. XIV: Geometry of Riemann surfaces and their moduli spaces, 131–143, Surv. Differ. Geom., 14, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.

[9] H. Iliev: On the irreducibility of the Hilbert scheme of space curves. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 2823-2832.

[10] H. Iliev: On the irreducibility of the Hilbert scheme of curves in $\mathbb{P}^5$. Comm. Algebra 36 (2008), 15501564.

[11] C. Keem: Reducible Hilbert scheme of smooth curves with positive Brill-Noether number. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1994), 349-354.

[12] C. Keem and S. Kim: On the Clifford index of a general $(e+2)$-gonal curve. Manuscripta Math. 63 (1989), 83-88.

[13] C. Keem and S. Kim: Irreducibility of a subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of complex space curves. J. Algebra 145 (1992), 240-248.

[14] S. Kim: Irreducibility of a subscheme of the Hilbert scheme of complex space curves. J. Algebra 145 (1992), 240248.

[15] E. Mezzetti e G. Sacchiero: Gonality and Hilbert schemes of smooth curves. Algebraic curves and projective geometry (Trento, 1988), 183-194, Lecture Notes in Math., 1389, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[16] E. Sernesi: The work of Beniamino Segre on curves and their moduli. Preprint March 2011, available online at http://www.mat.uniroma3.it/users/sernesi/papers.html.

[17] F. Severi: Vorlesungen über algebraische Geometrie: Geometrie auf einer Kurve, Riemannsche Fläschen, Abelsche Integrale. Teubner, Leipzig, 1921.

Edoardo Ballico
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Trento
Via Sommarive 14
38123 Trento, Italy.
E-mail address: ballico@science.unitn.it

Claudio Fontanari
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Trento
Via Sommarive 14
38123 Trento, Italy.
E-mail address: fontanar@science.unitn.it